

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,007	09/19/2003	Stephen P. Huda	KX-P-007US	6598
75	90 06/23/2005		EXAMINER	
KX Industries L P			ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
Gary L Warner 269 South Lambert Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Orange, CT 0		•	1761	
		DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	(4,		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	и		
Office Action Summary		10/666,007	HUDA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Reginald L. Alexander	1761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - External efter - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	<u>lay 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>26 and 27</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,7-14,16 and 18-25</u> is/are rejected to. Claim(s) <u>3, 6, 15 and 17</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	·			
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	1		
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

the

Application/Control Number: 10/666,007

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-10, 12-14, 16, 18, 20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wai.

There is disclosed in Wai a brew basket for a beverage system, comprising: a brewing chamber 21 having an aperture 41 to allow flow of a brewed beverage; a filter 12 including a replaceable filter medium 19, the filter being pivotably attached, at the tip of its handle, to the brewing chamber, wherein the replaceable filter medium is sealably supported on an annular flange 16 of the filter.

In regards to the swinging of the filter up or to one side, it is apparent that such an action can be performed by the prior art filter. Without the recitation in the claims of any structure to perform the claimed functions, the prior art meets the claimed limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/666,007

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wai in view of Albertson.

Albertson discloses that it is known in the art to provide a wear indicator (col. 3, lines 63 and 64) to inform the user as to when to replace a water filter in a coffee maker.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Wai with a filter wear indicator as taught by Albertson, in order to prevent over use of the filter element.

Claims 19 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wai in view of Johnson et al.

Johnson discloses fastening means 80, 81 along a top edge of a brewing chamber for securing a filter.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Wai with the fastening means taught in Johnson, in order to prevent movement of the filter on the brewing chamber.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 6, 15 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 26 and 27 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the prior art reference to Wai is not a brew basket that is pivotably mounted. It should be noted that none of the independent claims which have been rejected state that the brew basket pivots. The claims state that the filter is pivoted.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,007 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla June 20, 2005 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761